



# ECHIQUIER AGENOR SRI MID CAP EUROPE FUND B

DECEMBER 2024 (data as of 12/31/2024)



Echiquier Agenor SRI Mid Cap Europe Fund is a bottom up stock-picking fund. It invests in European small and mid-cap growth stocks that are selected mainly for the quality of their Management.



15 M€  
Net assets



195.61 €  
NAV

Recommended investment horizon

5 years

## Fund Managers

Stéphanie Bobtcheff, José Berros

## Characteristics

|                         |                                    |
|-------------------------|------------------------------------|
| Type                    | Sicav (subfund)                    |
| Sicav                   | Echiquier Fund                     |
| Creation of the Sicav   | 10/08/2013                         |
| Lifetime                | Indefinite                         |
| Creation of the subfund | 11/08/2013                         |
| Date of 1st NAV         | 11/08/2013                         |
| ISIN                    | LU0969069516                       |
| Bloomberg code          | EAMCEAE LX                         |
| Base currency           | EUR                                |
| Income allocation       | Accumulation                       |
| Ref. Indic.             | MSCI EUROPE MID CAP NET RETURN EUR |
| SFDR classification     | Article 8                          |

## Financial information

|                   |                                     |
|-------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Entry charge      | 3% max. not acquired by the subfund |
| Exit charge       | None                                |
| Management fees   | 1,75% incl. taxes                   |
| Performance fee   | No                                  |
| Swing pricing     | No (definition on last page)        |
| Min. subscription | None                                |

### Fees as of 09/30/2023

|   |       |
|---|-------|
| Management fees and other administrative and operating expenses | 1,85% |
| Transaction costs   | 0,23% |
| Outperformance fees   | No    |

## Operational information


|                        |                        |
|------------------------|------------------------|
| Valorisation frequency | Daily                  |
| Cut off                | 10:00                  |
| Settlement             | D+2                    |
| Fund administrator     | BNP Paribas Luxembourg |
| Custodian              | BNP Paribas Luxembourg |
| Decimalisation         | Thousandths            |

## Fund Manager comments

Echiquier Agenor SRI Mid Cap Europe Fund B turned in a negative monthly performance of -0.52% and turned in a positive performance of 1.26% year-to-date.

European stock markets ended the year in an uncertain mood, reflecting concerns about the economic resilience of the eurozone and the ability of the Federal Reserve (Fed) to adopt a more accommodative monetary policy. December was a good illustration of a stock market year marked by persistent volatility, accentuated by geopolitical tensions and a worsening economic outlook in Europe. Against a backdrop of rising long-term interest rates, the fund slightly outperformed its index despite our absence from the banking/insurance sector, which was one of the month's best performers. The fund's main beneficiary is PANDORA (+45bp), supported by solid retail jewellery sales figures in the United States and positive broker recommendations. ASM I (+23bp) is benefiting from the buoyancy of US semiconductor manufacturers. Finally, MONCLER (+18bp) was boosted by the rebound in the luxury goods sector in the wake of positive announcements in China. Conversely, our long-duration, interest-rate-sensitive stocks are weighing on performance (DIASORIN, SHURGARD, DIPLOMA, RATIONAL). We are continuing to reduce our exposure to stocks whose momentum seems less favourable (mainly AAK, AALBERTS, ALTEN, BECHTLE, DIPLOMA, SCOUT24 and SPIE).

## Evolution of the performance of the fund and its reference indicator since inception (base 100)




Ref. Indic.: source Bloomberg

## Perf. (%)

|             | Annualised |      |        |         |         |          | Since inception |
|-------------|------------|------|--------|---------|---------|----------|-----------------|
|             | 1 month    | YTD  | 1 year | 3 Years | 5 Years | 10 years |                 |
| Fund        | -0.5       | +1.3 | +1.3   | -7.8    | +0.7    | +6.8     | +6.2            |
| Ref. Indic. | -0.4       | +9.3 | +9.2   | +0.2    | +5.5    | +7.9     | +8.0            |

## Performance by calendar year (%)



Before the launch date of the sub-fund, the performances displayed have been calculated based on the performances of the master fund. Past performance is not an indication of future performance. Returns indicated are after management fees but before taxes paid by the investor. The fund's performance and that of the benchmark index are calculated with net coupons reinvested. All fees and commissions are included in the calculation. Until the 12/31/2021, the reference index was MSCI EUROPE SM CAP NR. And since the 01/01/2022, MSCI EUROPE MID CAP NET RETURN EUR.

## Other risk indicators

| (based on weekly figures)        | 1 year | 3 Years | 5 Years | 10 years | Since inception |
|----------------------------------|--------|---------|---------|----------|-----------------|
| Fund volatility                  | 12.6   | 17.7    | 18.3    | 15.4     | 15.1            |
| Ref. indicator volatility        | 10.5   | 15.8    | 20.5    | 17.4     | 17.2            |
| Sharpe ratio                     | 0.2    | Neg     | 0.1     | 0.5      | 0.5             |
| Beta                             | 1.1    | 1.0     | 0.8     | 0.8      | 0.8             |
| Correlation                      | 0.9    | 0.9     | 0.9     | 0.9      | 0.9             |
| Information ratio                | -1.2   | -1.0    | -0.5    | -0.2     | -0.3            |
| Tracking error                   | 5.9    | 7.5     | 9.7     | 8.2      | 8.1             |
| Max. drawdown of the fund        | -8.2   | -34.9   | -36.7   | -36.7    | -36.7           |
| Max. drawdown of the benchmark   | -7.4   | -29.6   | -41.4   | -41.4    | -41.4           |
| Time to recovery (business days) | -      | -       | -       | -        | -               |

## Risk indicator



Important risk(s) for the fund not taken into account in this indicator: credit risk, guarantees. The risk category associated with this fund is not guaranteed and may change over time.

The synthetic risk indicator shows the level of risk of this product compared with others. It indicates the probability that this product will incur losses in the event of market movements or if we are unable to pay you. We have classified the product in risk class 4 out of 7, which is a low to medium risk class. In other words, the potential losses associated with the future performance of the product are low to medium and, if the situation were to deteriorate on the financial markets, it is unlikely that our ability to pay you would be affected. This indicator represents the risk profile shown in the KID. The risk indicator assumes that you hold the share for 5 years. Warning: the real risk may be very different if you opt to exit before that time, and you may get less in return.

COMMERCIAL DOCUMENT - Please refer to the glossary on the last page for technical terms.

LA FINANCIERE DE L'ECHIQUIER - ASSET MANAGEMENT COMPANY - 53, AVENUE D'ËNA - 75116 PARIS - Phone : +33(0)1.47.23.90.90 - FAX : +33(0)1.47.23.91.91 - www.lfde.com/en-ch  
S.A. with a share capital of 10 047 500 € - SIREN 352 045 454 - R.C.S. PARIS - AMF approval N° 91004 - Paying agent: Banque cantonale de Genève, 17 quai de l'île, 1204 Genève

**Fund Profile**


|               |       |
|---------------|-------|
| EV/Sales 2025 | 4.6   |
| PER 2025      | 23.0  |
| Yield         | 1.8%  |
| Active share  | 92.5% |

|                                    |       |
|------------------------------------|-------|
| Cash (% of the net assets)         | 7.8%  |
| Number of positions                | 32    |
| Average market capitalization (M€) | 7 665 |
| Median market capitalization (M€)  | 6 978 |

Source: LFD

**Geographic breakdown**


(% of the net assets without cash)



Source: LFD

**Sector breakdown (GICS)**


(% of the net assets without cash)



Source: Bloomberg

**Capitalization breakdown (€)**

(% of the net assets without cash)



Source: LFD


**Top holdings**

| Holdings              | Country | Sector      | % of the net assets |
|-----------------------|---------|-------------|---------------------|
| Scout24               | DEU     | Comm. Serv. | 5.7                 |
| Recordati             | ITA     | Health Care | 5.3                 |
| Diploma               | GBR     | Industry    | 5.0                 |
| IMCD                  | NLD     | Industry    | 4.6                 |
| Euronext              | NLD     | Financials  | 4.5                 |
| Diasorin              | ITA     | Health Care | 4.1                 |
| Halma                 | GBR     | IT          | 4.1                 |
| Beijer Ref B          | SWE     | Industry    | 4.1                 |
| Biomerieux            | FRA     | Health Care | 3.9                 |
| Shurgard Self Storage | GGY     | Real Estate | 3.6                 |

Total weight of the top 10 holdings: **44.9%**

Source: LFD

**Currency breakdown**



Source: LFD

**Performance analysis (monthly)**

| Top 3 contributors |             |              |
|--------------------|-------------|--------------|
| Holdings           | Performance | Contribution |
| Pandora            | 15.9        | 0.5          |
| ASM International  | 9.3         | 0.2          |
| AAK                | 7.5         | 0.2          |

Weight of the 3 contributors: **8.6%**

| Flop 3 contributors   |             |              |
|-----------------------|-------------|--------------|
| Holdings              | Performance | Contribution |
| Shurgard Self Storage | -7.1        | -0.3         |
| Diasorin              | -5.9        | -0.3         |
| Rational              | -6.9        | -0.2         |

Weight of the 3 contributors: **11.2%**

Source: LFD

ESG Data


|  |              |                     |
|--|--------------|---------------------|
| <b>Selectivity rate<sup>(1)</sup></b>          | <b>25.0%</b> |                     |
| Minimum ESG score                              | Fund         | Investment universe |
|  | 5.5          |                     |
| Coverage rates for ESG analysis <sup>(2)</sup> | 100%         | 84%                 |
| Weighted average ESG rating                    | 6.6          | 6.3                 |

<sup>(1)</sup>Selectivity rate: percentage of the investment universe excluded due to ESG criteria  
<sup>(2)</sup>Percentage of net invested assets (excluding mutual funds, cash and derivatives) covered by ESG analysis



Top ESG ratings of the fund

| Holdings              | Country | ESG score | E    | S   | G   |
|-----------------------|---------|-----------|------|-----|-----|
| Thule                 | Sweden  | 8.1       | 8.9  | 7.3 | 8.1 |
| Shurgard Self Storage | Belgium | 8.0       | 6.8  | 6.9 | 8.7 |
| Croda                 | UK      | 7.8       | 7.0  | 6.9 | 8.4 |
| Tele2                 | Sweden  | 7.7       | 10.0 | 8.1 | 7.0 |
| Spie                  | France  | 7.6       | 6.7  | 6.8 | 8.1 |

Distribution of ESG ratings of the fund and the investment universe




Average scores compared to the investment universe




Source: La Financière de l'Echiquier and MSCI ESG Research


ESG performance indicators




Source: MSCI ESG Research




Source: MSCI ESG Research



Source: LFDE



Source: Carbon4 Finance



Source: Carbon4 Finance

\* The Fund is committed to outperforming its benchmark on these indicators.

## Methodologies and coverage rate

|  |                | 12/30/2022 | 12/29/2023 | 12/31/2024 |  |                | 12/30/2022 | 12/29/2023 | 12/31/2024 |
|--|----------------|------------|------------|------------|--|----------------|------------|------------|------------|
| Turnover of employees                            | Portfolio      | 79.2%      | 82.4%      | 86.7%      | ESG Controversy score  | Portfolio      | 97.7%      | 100.0%     | 100.0%     |
|  | Ref. indicator | 78.5%      | 78.8%      | 82.1%      |  | Ref. indicator | 100.0%     | 99.6%      | 98.2%      |
|  |                |            |            |            | $\text{Controversy score of the portfolio} = \sum_{i=1}^n (\text{Controversy Score}_i \times \text{Stock Weighting}_i)$  |                |            |            |            |
|  |                | 12/30/2022 | 12/29/2023 | 12/31/2024 |  |                | 12/30/2022 | 12/29/2023 | 12/31/2024 |
| Signatories of the United Nations Global Compact | Portfolio      | 100.0%     | 100.0%     | 100.0%     | Carbon data  | Portfolio      | 100.0%     | 100.0%     | 100.0%     |
|  | Ref. indicator | 89.8%      | 98.9%      | 91.6%      |  | Ref. indicator | 95.2%      | 96.3%      | 94.5%      |
|  |                |            |            |            | $\text{Carbon intensity of the portfolio} = \sum_{i=1}^n \left( \frac{\text{Investment Value}_i}{\text{Fund Net Asset Value}} \times \frac{\text{Carbon Emissions Scope 1,2 e 3}}{\text{Enterprise Value}_i} \right)$                  |                |            |            |            |
|  |                |            |            |            | $\text{Ratio avoided emissions on induced emissions (CIR)} = \sum_{i=1}^n \left( \frac{\text{Sum of CO2 emissions savings}_i}{\text{Sum of CO2 induced emissions}_i} \times \text{Stock weighting}_i \text{ in the portfolio} \right)$ |                |            |            |            |

We have not encountered any difficulties in measuring these ESG performance indicators.

ESG: Environmental, Social and Governance criteria. At La Financière de l'Echiquier, the Governance score accounts for around 60% of the ESG score.

For further information related to the methodologies used to calculate ESG indicators above and on our approach as a responsible investor, please refer to our transparency code and other documents available on La Financière de l'Echiquier's website at the following link: [www.lfde.com/en-ch/responsible-investment/to-find-out-more/](http://www.lfde.com/en-ch/responsible-investment/to-find-out-more/)

Sources: La Financière de l'Echiquier, The United Nations Global Compact, Carbon4 Finance, MSCI ESG Research

The French government SRI label is valid for a limited period and is subject to re-evaluation. Furthermore, the fact that a sub-fund has been awarded the label does not mean that it meets your own sustainability objectives or that the label meets the requirements of future national or European rules.

### Extra-financial objective of the fund

The fund systematically incorporates environmental, social and governance criteria. This has an impact on the selection of securities in the portfolio.

The following are examples of indicators for each of the E, S and G criteria:

- **Environmental indicators:** environmental policy and actions, results of action plans put in place by the company, exposure of suppliers to environmental risks, positive or negative impact of products on the environment.
- **Social indicators:** attractiveness of employer brand, employee retention, anti-discrimination, employee protection, supplier exposure to social risks, relations with civil society.
- **Governance indicators:** competence of the management team, checks and balances, respect for minority shareholders, business ethics.

The fund seeks to select the best-rated issuers from an extra-financial point of view within its investment universe ("best in universe" approach).

The fund also invests in companies that have adopted a CSR approach and are committed to improving their ESG practices ("best effort" approach).

The fund applies two extra-financial filters in its stock selection: after excluding controversial sectors and practices, stocks must meet a minimum ESG rating requirement. These ratings are determined by the Management Company and applied to the entire portfolio. The ESG rating is established out of 10 and is assigned to each issuer.

The ESG rating is out of 10 and is assigned to each issuer.

This rating is determined as follows:

- **Governance:** the Governance rating represents approximately 60% of the overall ESG rating. This is a historical bias of La Financière de l'Echiquier, which has attached particular importance to this subject since its creation.
- **Environment and Social:** social and environmental criteria are combined into a Responsibility score. The calculation of this takes account of the type of company concerned:
  - **for industrial stocks:** social and environmental criteria are equally weighted within the Responsibility score.
  - **for service values:** the "Social" score contributes 2/3 to the "Responsibility" score while the "Environment" score accounts for 1/3 of the "Responsibility" score.

This rating may be reduced in the event of significant controversy. If a company's rating falls below the minimum required by the Management Company for the fund, the position in the issuer would be sold in the best interests of unitholders/shareholders.

For further information related to the methodologies used to calculate ESG indicators, please refer to our transparency code available on [www.lfde.com/en-ch/responsible-investment/to-find-out-more/](http://www.lfde.com/en-ch/responsible-investment/to-find-out-more/).

## Glossary

### Lexicon of financial conditions

|                      |   |
|----------------------|---|
| <b>Swing pricing</b> | Mechanism by which the net asset value is adjusted upwards (or downwards) when the change in liabilities is positive (or negative) in order to reduce the cost of portfolio rebalancing to the holders of the fund as a result of changes in liabilities. |
|----------------------|---|

### Lexicon of risk indicators

|   |  |
|---|--|
| <b>Volatility</b>                       | Measure of the amplitude of variations in the price of a share, a market or a fund. It is calculated over a given period and is used to assess the regularity of the performance of a share, market or fund.   |
| <b>Sharpe ratio</b>                     | Indicator of the (marginal) return obtained per unit of risk taken.<br>If the ratio is negative: less profitability than the benchmark.<br>If the ratio is between 0 and 1: outperformance with too much" risk taken.  |
| <b>Bêta</b>                             | If the ratio is greater than 1: outperformance that does not come at the cost of "too much" risk."Indicator which corresponds to the fund's sensitivity in relation to its benchmark index.<br>For a beta of less than 1, the fund is likely to fall less than its index; if the beta is greater than 1, the fund is likely to fall more than its index. |
| <b>Information ratio</b>                | Synthetic indicator of the effectiveness of the risk/return trade-off. A high indicator means that the fund regularly outperforms its benchmark index.   |
| <b>Tracking error</b>                   | An indicator that compares the fund's volatility with that of its benchmark index. The higher the tracking error, the further the fund's average performance is from its benchmark index.  |
| <b>Max. drawdown</b>                    | Max drawdown measures the biggest fall in the value of a portfolio.  |
| <b>Time to recovery (business days)</b> | Recovery time, which corresponds to the time needed for the portfolio to return to its highest level (before the "max drawdown").  |
| <b>Sensitivity</b>                      | Variation in the value of an asset when another factor varies at the same time. For example, the interest-rate sensitivity of a bond corresponds to the variation in its price caused by a rise or fall in interest rates of one basis point (0.01%).  |

### Lexicon of financial analysis

|                               |  |
|-------------------------------|--|
| <b>EV/Sales</b>               | Enterprise valuation ratio: enterprise value/sales.  |
| <b>PER</b>                    | Company valuation ratio: Price Earning Ratio = market capitalisation/net profit.   |
| <b>Consumer discretionary</b> | In contrast to basic consumption, it represents all goods and services considered non-essential.   |
| <b>Basic consumption</b>      | As opposed to discretionary consumption, it represents goods and services considered essential.  |
| <b>Communication Services</b> | This sector includes telecoms network operators and providers of communications and data transmission services.  |
| <b>Emerging countries</b>     | Emerging countries are countries whose economic situation is in the process of development. This growth is calculated on the basis of GDP, new businesses and infrastructure, and the standard of living and quality of life of the inhabitants. |
| <b>Commodities</b>            | A natural resource used in the production of semi-finished or finished products, or as a source of energy.   |

### Lexicon of credit analysis

|                                    |   |
|------------------------------------|---|
| <b>Investment grade bond</b>       | A bond is said to be "investment grade", i.e. if its financial rating by the rating agencies is higher than BB+.  |
| <b>High yield bond</b>             | A high-yield bond is one rated below BBB- by the rating agencies.   |
| <b>Duration</b>                    | The average life of its cash flows weighted by their present value. All other things being equal, the higher the duration, the greater the risk.  |
| <b>Yield to worst</b>              | The worst return a bond can achieve without the issuer defaulting.  |
| <b>Yield (all calls exercised)</b> | The yield on a bond includes any call dates incorporated into the bond. These "call" dates correspond to intermediate maturities which give the possibility of redeeming the bond before its final maturity date. |

### Non-financial analysis lexicon

|   |   |
|---|---|
| <b>Selectivity rate</b>                         | Selectivity rate: percentage of the initial universe excluded for ESG reasons.  |
| <b>Investment universe</b>                      | Investment universe ("investible universe") meeting the constraints of the prospectus.  |
| <b>Controversy score</b>                        | This controversy score ranging from 0 to 10 (0 being the worst) is provided to us by MSCI ESG Research. We want to measure whether the companies invested in our UCIs are better than those in their benchmark index in terms of management and occurrence of ESG controversies on themes such as the environment, consumer rights, human rights, labour rights, supplier management as well as governance.   |
| <b>Carbon data</b>                              | A set of raw data (source Carbon4 Finance) used to calculate the various ratios linked to the fund's carbon footprint:<br>Carbon impact ratio: CO <sub>2</sub> emissions saved and CO <sub>2</sub> emissions induced.<br>Carbon intensity: Scope 1, 2 and 3 carbon emissions (scope 1 represents direct emissions, 2 indirect energy-related emissions, 3 all other indirect emissions).  |
| <b>Carbon Impact Ratio (CIR)</b>                | Emissions saved to emissions induced ratio calculated by Carbon4 Finance, which corresponds to the ratio between GHG emissions avoided and GHG emissions induced by a company (in tonnes of CO <sub>2</sub> equivalent), known as the CIR. The higher the CIR, the more relevant the company is to the transition to a low-carbon economy. This indicator enables us to assess the relevance of a company's activity to the challenges of combating climate change. For a given company, a CIR greater than 1 means that the activity avoids more greenhouse gas emissions than it induces. |
| <b>Weighted average carbon intensity (WACI)</b> | Carbon intensity is the weighted average of our UCIs (compared with their benchmark index) using Carbon4 Finance's WACI (Weighted Average Carbon Intensity) methodology. The calculation formula is given in the ESG methodology insert.  |
| <b>Best in Universe</b>                         | A type of ESG selection that favours issuers with the best extra-financial ratings, regardless of their sector of activity.   |
| <b>Best Effort</b>                              | This type of ESG selection favours issuers demonstrating an improvement or good prospects in their ESG practices and performance over time.   |

### For more information

The SICAV was created on October 8, 2013 for an indefinite period. The subfund was launched on November 8, 2013.

This document, which is of a commercial nature, is above all a monthly report on the management and risks of the sub-fund. It is also intended to provide you with simplified information on the characteristics of the sub-fund.

For further information on the characteristics and costs of this sub-fund, we invite you to read the regulatory documents (prospectus available in English and French and DIC in the official languages of your country) available free of charge on our website [www.lfde.com](http://www.lfde.com).

Investors or potential investors are informed that they can obtain a summary of their rights in the official language of their country or in English on the Regulatory Information page of the management company's website [www.lfde.com](http://www.lfde.com) or directly via the link below:  
<https://cdn.lfde.com/upload/partner/Droitsdelinvestisseur.pdf>

Information on withholding tax rates: for distribution units, dividends paid are taxed at 30%. For capitalization units of funds investing more than 10% of their net assets in debt securities, taxation at 30% on income derived directly or indirectly from the yield on debt securities.

Investors or potential investors may also file a claim in accordance with the procedure laid down by the management company. This information is available in the official language of the country or in English on the Regulatory Information page of the management company's website [www.lfde.com](http://www.lfde.com) or directly via the link below:  
<https://cdn.lfde.com/upload/partner/Droitsdelinvestisseur.pdf>

Finally, the investor's attention is drawn to the fact that the manager or the management company may decide to terminate the marketing agreements for its collective investment schemes in accordance with Article 93a of Directive 2009/65/EC and Article 32a of Directive 2011/61/EU.