



ECHIQUIER POSITIVE IMPACT EUROPE G

JANUARY 2025 (data as of 01/31/2025)



Echiquier Positive Impact Europe is a bottom up stock-picking fund. It invests in European stocks characterised by their good governance, the quality of their social and environmental policies and who contribute to the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals.



486 M€
Net assets



169.32 €
NAV

Recommended investment horizon

5 years

Fund Managers

Adrien Bommelaer, Luc Olivier, Paul Merle

Characteristics

Type	Sicav (subfund)
Sicav	Echiquier Impact
Creation of the Sicav	10/16/2017
Lifetime	Indefinite
Creation of the subfund	03/19/2010
Date of 1st NAV	12/01/2017
ISIN	FR0013299294
Bloomberg code	ECHPOSG FP
Base currency	EUR
Income allocation	Accumulation
Ref. Indic.	MSCI EUROPE NR
SFDR classification	Article 9

Financial information

Entry charge	3% max. not acquired by the subfund
Exit charge	None
Management fees	1.20% incl. taxes
Performance fee	No
Swing pricing	No (definition on last page)
Min. subscription	None
Fees as of 12/30/2022	
Management fees and other administrative and operating expenses	1.21%
Transaction costs	0.22%
Outperformance fees	No

Operational information

Valorisation frequency	Daily
Cut off	Noon
Settlement	D+2
Fund administrator	Société Générale
Custodian	BNP Paribas SA
Decimalisation	Thousandths

Risk indicator




Important risk(s) for the fund not taken into account in this indicator: credit risk, guarantees. The risk category associated with this fund is not guaranteed and may change over time.

Fund Manager comments

Echiquier Positive Impact Europe G turned in a positive monthly performance of 7.33%.

The markets got the year off to a good start, bolstered by optimism following the inauguration of Donald Trump. However, there was considerable volatility between positive announcements for the US technology sector with the 'Stargate' programme and doubts about the sustainability of its growth with the release of 'DeepSeek'. Against this backdrop, the fund's high exposure to technology stocks enabled it to outperform, despite the absence of luxury goods and banks, which also rebounded well. Software publishers EXPERIAN, RELX and WOLTERS are benefiting from the favourable climate for generative AI, and SAP has also pre-published results that beat expectations. Conversely, NOVO NORDISK continues to suffer from the CagriSema results published at the end of 2024, and MUNTERS is correcting its position following the DeepSeek announcements. Esker is exiting the portfolio following the takeover bid announced last summer, which enabled us to initiate a position in Essilor Luxottica, world leader in frames and corrective lenses, and Ecolab, a specialist in water treatment and sanitation solutions.

Evolution of the performance of the fund and its reference indicator since inception (base 100)




Ref. Indic.: source Bloomberg

Perf. (%)

	1 month	YTD	Annualised			Since inception
			1 year	3 Years	5 Years	
Fund	+7.3	+7.3	+13.3	+5.2	+7.3	+7.6
Ref. Indic.	+6.5	+6.5	+13.8	+7.8	+8.2	+7.5

Performance by calendar year (%)



Past performance is not an indication of future performance. Returns indicated are after management fees but before taxes paid by the investor. The fund's performance and that of the benchmark index are calculated with net coupons reinvested. All fees and commissions are included in the calculation.

Other risk indicators

(based on weekly figures)	1 year	3 Years	5 Years	10 years	Since inception
Fund volatility	14.2	16.5	18.0	-	16.6
Ref. indicator volatility	10.8	13.9	17.9	-	16.4
Sharpe ratio	1.1	0.4	0.5	-	0.6
Beta	1.2	1.1	0.9	-	0.9
Correlation	0.9	0.9	0.9	-	0.9
Information ratio	0	-0.3	-0.1	-	0
Tracking error	6.5	6.8	7.7	-	7.1
Max. drawdown of the fund	-9.9	-23.2	-29.3	-	-29.3
Max. drawdown of the benchmark	-7.1	-17.1	-35.3	-	-35.3
Time to recovery (business days)	116.0	333.0	401.0	-	401.0

The synthetic risk indicator shows the level of risk of this product compared with others. It indicates the probability that this product will incur losses in the event of market movements or if we are unable to pay you. We have classified the product in risk class 4 out of 7, which is a low to medium risk class. In other words, the potential losses associated with the future performance of the product are low to medium and, if the situation were to deteriorate on the financial markets, it is unlikely that our ability to pay you would be affected.

This indicator represents the risk profile shown in the KID. The risk indicator assumes that you hold the share for 5 years. Warning: the real risk may be very different if you opt to exit before that time, and you may get less in return.


Fund Profile

EV/Sales 2025	6.0	Cash (% of the net assets)	0%
PER 2025	28.1	Number of positions	31
Yield	1.4%	Average market capitalization (M€)	123,991
Active share	80.5%	Median market capitalization (M€)	45,882

Source: LFDE


Geographic breakdown

(% of the net assets without cash)




Sector breakdown

(% of the net assets without cash)



Capitalization breakdown (€)

(% of the net assets without cash)




Top holdings

Holdings	Country	Sector	% of the net assets
SAP	DEU	IT	6.2
ASML	NLD	IT	6.1
RELX	GBR	Industry	6.0
Wolters Kluwer	NLD	Industry	5.4
Experian	GBR	Industry	4.7
Novonosis	DNK	Materials	4.4
Schneider Electric	FRA	Industry	4.3
Visa	USA	Financials	4.1
Atlas Copco A	SWE	Industry	4.0
Munich Re	DEU	Financials	4.0

Total weight of the top 10 holdings: **49.2%**
Source: LFDE

Currency breakdown



Performance analysis (monthly)

Top 3 contributors		
Holdings	Performance	Contribution
SAP	13.6	0.8
Experian	15.6	0.7
RELX	10.2	0.6

Weight of the 3 contributors: **16.0%**

Flop 3 contributors		
Holdings	Performance	Contribution
Munters	-4.8	-0.1
Novo Nordisk	-2.7	-0.1
Smurfit Westrock	-1.5	0.0

Weight of the 3 contributors: **7.2%**
Source: LFDE

GREaT profile of the portfolio

ESG Approach	Selectivity ¹
Selectivity rate ²	25%
Pivotal GREaT score ³	5.40
Lowest GREaT score in the portfolio ⁴	5.50

The GREaT score is based on an ESG analysis methodology proprietary to the LBP AM Group.

The GREaT score scale ranges from 1 to 10, with 10 representing the highest ESG quality of an issuer.

¹ The ESG approach known as "selectivity" excludes from the comparison universe a percentage of issuers - corresponding to the selectivity rate - present on the exclusion lists applicable to the portfolio and/or those with the lowest ratings.

² The selectivity rate is the percentage of the comparison universe excluded for ESG reasons.





³ The pivotal GREaT score is the score of the last issuer excluded from the comparison universe after removing a percentage of issuers corresponding to the selectivity rate. The portfolio can then invest in any issuer whose GREaT score is higher than the portfolio's pivotal GREaT score.

⁴ The lowest GREaT score in the portfolio must be higher than the pivotal GREaT score. This score may temporarily be lower than the pivotal GREaT score, following the bi-annual score updating campaigns. In this context, management teams have a maximum of one month to bring their portfolios into compliance.

ESG: Environmental, Social and Governance criteria


To find out more about the composition of the comparison universe, please consult the fund's prospectus.

GREaT methodology

 Responsible governance	 Sustainable Resource Management
Encouraging the dissemination of best practices in corporate governance and business ethics.	Sustainable management of human and natural resources: respect for human rights, development of labor laws, sustainable relations with suppliers and environmental protection.
 Energy Transition	 Regional development
Manage climate risks by supporting the transition from a high-carbon economic model to a more sober and sustainable one.	Promote responsible practices that meet social and sustainable development objectives and create value for all stakeholders in the value chain.

	Portfolio	Coverage of the portfolio	Comparable	Coverage of comparable	Type of comparable
Weighted average GREaT score	5.50	97.9%	1.49	99.5%	
G - Responsible governance	6.60	97.9%	6.10	99.5%	
R - Sustainable resource management	6.30	97.9%	5.88	99.5%	Comparison universe
E - Energy transition	7.19	97.9%	6.23	99.5%	
T - Regional development	6.92	97.9%	6.00	98.8%	

Breakdown of portfolio and comparison universe by GREaT score



Best GREaT scores in the portfolio and contribution of each pillar to the issuer's GREaT score

Issuer name	Weight in portfolio	GREaT Score	G	R	E	T
L'Oreal Sa	1.0%	9.65	11.5%	25.6%	18.5%	44.4%
Schneider Electric Se	4.3%	9.55	20.0%	21.4%	32.4%	26.3%
Biomerieux	3.4%	8.90	13.5%	24.7%	12.9%	48.9%
Legrand Sa	3.7%	8.56	32.7%	22.3%	20.9%	24.2%
Dassault Systemes Se	2.7%	8.50	21.7%	24.8%	17.3%	36.3%

Portfolio sustainability indicator

	Portfolio	Minimum commitment
Percentage of sustainable investment	98.0%	100.0%

According to the European SFDR (Sustainable Finance Disclosure Regulation), a sustainable investment is an investment in an economic activity that contributes to an environmental or social objective, provided that these investments do not cause significant harm to either of these objectives and that the companies in which the investments are made apply good governance practices.

The percentage of sustainable investment presented here is based on the LBP AM Group's proprietary methodology, available in full on our website: <https://cdn.lfde.com/upload/partner/sfdr-methodologie-investissement-durable.pdf>

Indicator 1 - Environment	Portfolio	Comparable
Net Zero trajectory	75.0%	35.6%
Coverage rates	100.0%	100.0%

Indicator 2 - Social	Portfolio	Comparable
Lack of due diligence on human rights	5.6%	27.3%
Coverage rates	93.7%	34.2%

Indicator methodology 1

Indicator name	Definition	Unit of measurement
Net Zero trajectory	Percentage of companies whose greenhouse gas emission reduction targets are validated by SBTi.	%

Source(s): CDP_TEMP

Indicator methodology 2

Indicator name	Definition	Unit of measurement
Lack of due diligence on human rights	Share of investment in entities that do not have a due diligence process in place to identify, prevent, mitigate and address negative human rights impacts.	% AUM

Source(s): MSCI

For more information on the methodologies used to calculate sustainability indicators and on our approach as a responsible investor, please refer to the documents available on our website at the following address: www.lfde.com/fr/investissement-responsable/pour-aller-plus-loin/.

The French government SRI label is valid for a limited period and is subject to re-evaluation. Furthermore, the fact that a sub-fund has been awarded the label does not mean that it meets your own sustainability objectives or that the label meets the requirements of future national or European rules.

Extra-financial objective of the portfolio

The financial product's SRI management approach consists of identifying the companies with the best sustainable development practices, according to the management company's analysis.

This analysis is based on the management company's own GREaT philosophy, articulated around the following 4 pillars:

- Responsible Governance: this pillar aims to assess the organization and effectiveness of powers within issuers (balance of power, executive remuneration, business ethics).
- Sustainable resource management: this pillar examines issuers' environmental impacts and human capital management (quality of working conditions and management of relations with suppliers).
- Economic and energy transition: this pillar assesses issuers' energy transition strategy (greenhouse gas reduction and response to long-term challenges).
- Territorial development: this pillar analyzes issuers' strategy in terms of access to basic services.

Several criteria are identified for each pillar and monitored using indicators collected from extra-financial rating agencies (MSCI ESG Research, Moody's ESG and Ethifinance Ratings). This methodology helps reduce bias, particularly in terms of capital and sector.

This quantitative analysis is complemented by a qualitative analysis of issuer governance. LFDE has implemented a proprietary methodology for qualitative analysis of corporate governance, which results in a governance score assigned to issuers. This score complements the quantitative "Responsible Governance" score assigned by the GREaT model. This analysis is based on LFDE's experience and aims to identify issuers where good governance and managerial excellence drive social and environmental initiatives that create value for all stakeholders.

Ultimately, the management company is the sole judge of an issuer's extra-financial quality, which is expressed:

- According to a GREaT score between 1 and 10 - 10 representing the best ESG quality of an issuer.
- In addition, the management company applies sector and normative exclusions designed to limit investment in issuers with excessive negative impacts. Our sector exclusion lists include certain issuers in controversial sectors such as tobacco, gambling, coal, oil and gas, according to criteria defined by the management company. Our normative exclusion list is constructed on the basis of analyses of ESG controversies or allegations, and identifies cases of severe, systematic and uncorrected violations of ESG rights or infringements. In addition to the management company's common exclusion base, portfolios holding the French government's SRI label comply with the mandatory exclusions listed in its guidelines.

Contribution to UN Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs)

Portfolio SDG profile		Focus on the 9 « Solutions » SDGs			
	Weighted average scores	SDG	Title	SDGs sales	Example
Solutions score	37/50	% of its turnover would contribute positively to the 9 business-oriented SDGs		73.8%	
Initiatives score	26/50	% without contribution to the SDGs		26.2%	
SDGs score	63/100	3	Good health and well-being	21.6%	Amplifon
		4	Quality education	0.3%	Relx
		6	Clean water and sanitation	0.6%	Ecolab
		7	Affordable and clean energy	7.6%	Schneider Electric
		8	Decent work and economic growth	11.0%	Sap
		9	Industry, innovation and infrastructure	16.2%	Asml
		11	Sustainable cities and communities	1.5%	Halma
		12	Responsible consumption and production	7.7%	Smurfit Westrock
		16	Peace, justice and strong institutions	7.3%	Wolters Kluwer

Solutions Score: This dimension scores the contribution of a company in terms of revenue from its products and services that address any of the nine business-oriented SDGs, as defined by La Financière de l'Echiquier's methodology 5 points = 10% of sales contributing to SDGs.

Initiatives score: This dimension scores a company on the significant initiatives it implements that contribute towards the SDGs. Points are attributable for different initiatives across all seventeen SDGs, such as investment in research, tiered product pricing for lower income customers or recycling initiatives.

SDGs score: Solutions score + Initiatives score

For further information, please refer to the impact report of Echiquier Positive Impact Europe available at: www.lfde.com/en

Glossary

Lexicon of financial conditions

Swing pricing	Mechanism by which the net asset value is adjusted upwards (or downwards) when the change in liabilities is positive (or negative) in order to reduce the cost of portfolio rebalancing to the holders of the fund as a result of changes in liabilities.
----------------------	---

Lexicon of risk indicators

Volatility	Measure of the amplitude of variations in the price of a share, a market or a fund. It is calculated over a given period and is used to assess the regularity of the performance of a share, market or fund.
Sharpe ratio	Indicator of the (marginal) return obtained per unit of risk taken. If the ratio is negative: less profitability than the benchmark. If the ratio is between 0 and 1: outperformance with too much" risk taken.
Bêta	If the ratio is greater than 1: outperformance that does not come at the cost of "too much" risk."Indicator which corresponds to the fund's sensitivity in relation to its benchmark index. For a beta of less than 1, the fund is likely to fall less than its index; if the beta is greater than 1, the fund is likely to fall more than its index.
Information ratio	Synthetic indicator of the effectiveness of the risk/return trade-off. A high indicator means that the fund regularly outperforms its benchmark index.
Tracking error	An indicator that compares the fund's volatility with that of its benchmark index. The higher the tracking error, the further the fund's average performance is from its benchmark index.
Max. drawdown	Max drawdown measures the biggest fall in the value of a portfolio.
Time to recovery (business days)	Recovery time, which corresponds to the time needed for the portfolio to return to its highest level (before the "max drawdown").
Sensitivity	Variation in the value of an asset when another factor varies at the same time. For example, the interest-rate sensitivity of a bond corresponds to the variation in its price caused by a rise or fall in interest rates of one basis point (0.01%).

Lexicon of financial analysis

EV/Sales	Enterprise valuation ratio: enterprise value/sales.
PER	Company valuation ratio: Price Earning Ratio = market capitalisation/net profit.
Consumer discretionary	In contrast to basic consumption, it represents all goods and services considered non-essential.
Basic consumption	As opposed to discretionary consumption, it represents goods and services considered essential.
Communication Services	This sector includes telecoms network operators and providers of communications and data transmission services.
Emerging countries	Emerging countries are countries whose economic situation is in the process of development. This growth is calculated on the basis of GDP, new businesses and infrastructure, and the standard of living and quality of life of the inhabitants.
Commodities	A natural resource used in the production of semi-finished or finished products, or as a source of energy.

Lexicon of credit analysis

Investment grade bond	A bond is said to be "investment grade", i.e. if its financial rating by the rating agencies is higher than BB+.
High yield bond	A high-yield bond is one rated below BBB- by the rating agencies.
Duration	The average life of its cash flows weighted by their present value. All other things being equal, the higher the duration, the greater the risk.
Yield to worst	The worst return a bond can achieve without the issuer defaulting.
Yield (all calls exercised)	The yield on a bond includes any call dates incorporated into the bond. These "call" dates correspond to intermediate maturities which give the possibility of redeeming the bond before its final maturity date.

Non-financial analysis lexicon

Selectivity rate	Selectivity rate: percentage of the initial universe excluded for ESG reasons.
Investment universe	Investment universe ("investible universe") meeting the constraints of the prospectus.
Controversy score	This controversy score ranging from 0 to 10 (0 being the worst) is provided to us by MSCI ESG Research. We want to measure whether the companies invested in our UCIs are better than those in their benchmark index in terms of management and occurrence of ESG controversies on themes such as the environment, consumer rights, human rights, labour rights, supplier management as well as governance.
Carbon data	A set of raw data (source Carbon4 Finance) used to calculate the various ratios linked to the fund's carbon footprint: Carbon impact ratio: CO ₂ emissions saved and CO ₂ emissions induced. Carbon intensity: Scope 1, 2 and 3 carbon emissions (scope 1 represents direct emissions, 2 indirect energy-related emissions, 3 all other indirect emissions).
Carbon Impact Ratio (CIR)	Emissions saved to emissions induced ratio calculated by Carbon4 Finance, which corresponds to the ratio between GHG emissions avoided and GHG emissions induced by a company (in tonnes of CO ₂ equivalent), known as the CIR. The higher the CIR, the more relevant the company is to the transition to a low-carbon economy. This indicator enables us to assess the relevance of a company's activity to the challenges of combating climate change. For a given company, a CIR greater than 1 means that the activity avoids more greenhouse gas emissions than it induces.
Weighted average carbon intensity (WACI)	Carbon intensity is the weighted average of our UCIs (compared with their benchmark index) using Carbon4 Finance's WACI (Weighted Average Carbon Intensity) methodology. The calculation formula is given in the ESG methodology insert.
Best in Universe	A type of ESG selection that favours issuers with the best extra-financial ratings, regardless of their sector of activity.
Best Effort	This type of ESG selection favours issuers demonstrating an improvement or good prospects in their ESG practices and performance over time.

For more information

The SICAV was created on October 16, 2017 for an indefinite period. The subfund was launched on March 19, 2010.

This document, which is of a commercial nature, is above all a monthly report on the management and risks of the sub-fund. It is also intended to provide you with simplified information on the characteristics of the sub-fund.

For further information on the characteristics and costs of this sub-fund, we invite you to read the regulatory documents (prospectus available in English and French and DIC in the official languages of your country) available free of charge on our website www.lfde.com.

Investors or potential investors are informed that they can obtain a summary of their rights in the official language of their country or in English on the Regulatory Information page of the management company's website www.lfde.com or directly via the link below:
<https://cdn.lfde.com/upload/partner/Droitsdelinvestisseur.pdf>

Information on withholding tax rates: for distribution units, dividends paid are taxed at 30%. For capitalization units of funds investing more than 10% of their net assets in debt securities, taxation at 30% on income derived directly or indirectly from the yield on debt securities.

Investors or potential investors may also file a claim in accordance with the procedure laid down by the management company. This information is available in the official language of the country or in English on the Regulatory Information page of the management company's website www.lfde.com or directly via the link below:
<https://cdn.lfde.com/upload/partner/Droitsdelinvestisseur.pdf>

Finally, the investor's attention is drawn to the fact that the manager or the management company may decide to terminate the marketing agreements for its collective investment schemes in accordance with Article 93a of Directive 2009/65/EC and Article 32a of Directive 2011/61/EU.